

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/256,265	02/23/99	KAO	D	15917-11

- MM21/0510 CLAUDE A S HAMRICK OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY

101 PARK CENTER PLAZA SUITE 400

SUITE 400 SAN JOSE CA 95113-2218 EXAMINER

ECKERT II,G

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

2815

**DATE MAILED:** 05/10/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

_					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit		
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	orrespondence ad	dress	
Period for Reply	<b>≾</b> ∿	large	)		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO E OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	<del>WONTH(S</del>	) FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, exp</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,</li> </ul>	within the statutory minim pire SIX (6) MONTHS fron	um of thirty (30) n the mailing date	days will be considere	d timely. n .	
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				············•	
☐ This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C			the merits is clos	ed in	
Disposition of Claims					
t Claim(s)		is/are p	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)		is/are \	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)	•	is/are	is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are ı	is/are rejected.			
□ Claipa(s)	is/are	is/are objected to.			
□ Claim(s)	are sul	are subject to restriction or election			
Application Papers		require			
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	ovious PTO-948				
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		□ disapprove	d		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	oopp.o.ou	a.oupp.o.o			
	to by the Examiner.				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	to by the Examiner.				
<ul> <li>☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> </ul>	to by the Examiner.				
	to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	r 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- priority documents ha	ave been			
<ul> <li>□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)</li> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International</li> </ul>	r 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- priority documents ha ational Bureau (PCT F	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International copies not received:  — *Certified copies not received:	r 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- priority documents ha ational Bureau (PCT F	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	r 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- priority documents ha ational Bureau (PCT F	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	·		
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Copies not received:  Attachment(s) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(series)	r 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- priority documents ha ational Bureau (PCT F	ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)). Interview Sumr		on. PTO-15%	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Copies not received:  *Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)	r 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- priority documents ha ational Bureau (PCT F	Rule 1 7.2(a)).  Interview Summer Suiterview S	·		

Serial Number: 09/256,265 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

## Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1,2, 8-10, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 315.
- II. Claims 3-7, 11-15, drawn to a method of making semiconductor devices, classified in class 438, subclass 1+.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by processes materially different from those of the group II invention, for example, by forming the source/drain regions before forming the gate.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-

Serial Number: 09/256,265

Art Unit: 2815

extensive and separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Group Receptionist at telephone number (703) 308-0956.

mahshid Saadat

AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

Page 3

Mahshid Saadat Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800

mb/mds April 21, 1999